UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/696,846	10/31/2003	Farid Bruce Khalili	VER2226-005	8064	
	7590 07/28/200 AW GROUP LLP	8	EXAMINER		
495 METRO PI			PELLEGRINO, BRIAN E		
SUITE 210 DUBLIN, OH 43017			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			3738		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/28/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/696,846	KHALILI, FARID BRUCE	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Brian E. Pellegrino	3738	

	Bilaii E. Fellegillio	3736							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress						
THE REPLY FILED <u>24 June 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS API	THE REPLY FILED 24 June 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 (periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of a replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.								
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.070 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	f).								
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as						
NOTICE OF APPEAL	•								
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the							
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>	·	()							
3. 🛮 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			cause						
(a) $oxtime$ They raise new issues that would require further co		E below);							
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	**								
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or			ne issues for						
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.							
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1									
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).						
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)									
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	·	•	_						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		l be entered and an ex	xplanation of						
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:									
Claim(s) rejected: <u>2,3,8-10 and 17-19</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <i>4 and 5</i> .									
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE									
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 									
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	ıl and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a						
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:						
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. ☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .									
	/Brian E Pellegrino/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 3738							
	i fillary Examinor, Art O	int 07 00							

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the new limitation in claim 17 that the vertebral engaging sides of the base components of the prosthesis have a plurality of teeth and a raised portion with angled sidewalls in combination requires new considerations and an updated search.

Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 112 1st paragraph rejection for lack of a description. The 112 2nd paragraph rejection has also been overcome by the amendment to claim 17 to provide proper antecedent basis.

Continuation of 13. Other: The specification objection has also been overcome by this amendment.